

Platelet responses to agonists in a cohort of highly characterised platelet donors are consistent over time

Garner, Steve; Furnell, Abigail; Kahan, Brennan ; Jones, Chris; Attwood, Anthony; Harrison, Paul; Kelly, Anne; Goodall, Alison; Cardigan, Rebecca; Ouwehand, Willem

DOI:

[10.1111/vox.12468](https://doi.org/10.1111/vox.12468)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Garner, S, Furnell, A, Kahan, B, Jones, C, Attwood, A, Harrison, P, Kelly, A, Goodall, A, Cardigan, R & Ouwehand, W 2017, 'Platelet responses to agonists in a cohort of highly characterised platelet donors are consistent over time', *Vox Sanguinis*, vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 18-24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/vox.12468>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Platelet responses to agonists in a cohort of highly characterised platelet donors are consistent over time

S. F. Garner,^{1,2} A. Furnell,^{1,2} B. C. Kahan,³ C. I. Jones,⁴ A. Attwood,^{1,2} P. Harrison,⁵ A. M. Kelly,^{1,2} A. H. Goodall,^{6,7} R. Cardigan^{1,2} & W. H. Ouwehand^{1,2,8}

¹NHS Blood and Transplant, Cambridge, UK

²Department of Haematology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

³Pragmatic Clinical Trials Unit, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

⁴Institute for Cardiovascular and Metabolic Research, University of Reading, Reading, UK

⁵Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

⁶Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

⁷NIHR Cardiovascular Biomedical Research Unit, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, UK

⁸Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK

Vox Sanguinis

Background and Objectives Platelet function shows significant inheritance that is at least partially genetically controlled. There is also evidence that the platelet response is stable over time, but there are few studies that have assessed consistency of platelet function over months and years. We aimed to measure platelet function in platelet donors over time in individuals selected from a cohort of 956 donors whose platelet function had been previously characterised.

Materials and Methods Platelet function was assessed by flow cytometry, measuring fibrinogen binding and P-selectin expression after stimulation with either cross-linked collagen-related peptide or adenosine 5'-diphosphate. Eighty-nine donors from the Cambridge Platelet Function Cohort whose platelet responses were initially within the lower or upper decile of reactivity were retested between 4 months and five and a half years later.

Results There was moderate-to-high correlation between the initial and repeat platelet function results for all assays ($P \leq 0.007$, r^2 0.2961–0.7625); furthermore, the range of results observed in the initial low and high responder groups remained significantly different at the time of the second test ($P \leq 0.0005$).

Conclusion Platelet function remains consistent over time. This implies that this potential influence on quality of donated platelet concentrates will remain essentially constant for a given donor.

Key words: donors, platelet components, platelet function.

Received: 20 June 2016,
revised 16 September 2016,
accepted 22 September 2016,
published online 21 December 2016

Introduction

Within the general population, several studies have confirmed the long-held notion that the response of platelets to agonists is highly variable, but for an individual, the level of responsiveness is remarkably consistent over time

[1–5]. The belief that highly characterised platelet function phenotypes are stable has underpinned the application of genomic studies to identify genetic variants underlying platelet function [6–8].

The recognition that platelet reactivity is at least partly genetically controlled, and therefore, potentially stable over time is supported by observations in the Framingham Heart Study which found that heritable factors played a key role in aggregation responses [9] and is compatible with the notion that the interindividual variation in platelet

Correspondence: Stephen F. Garner, Components Development Laboratory, NHS Blood and Transplant, Long Road, Cambridge CB2 0PT, UK
E-mail: Stephen.Garner@nhsbt.nhs.uk

parameters such as their count, volume and function is to a large extent inherited and therefore stable [7, 8, 10–13].

Knowledge that platelet function is consistent over time has a number of implications. The ability to reproducibly measure and demonstrate stable platelet function for a given individual supports the desire to personalise treatment with antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention [14]. Similarly, demonstration of wide but stable variation of platelet function between individuals has specific implications for blood services, as inherent variation in donor platelet function has already been shown to influence the quality of platelets donated via apheresis [15]. Furthermore, donor variability has also been suggested as a factor that influences posttransfusion platelet increments [16].

Many studies of platelet function however only cover periods of months, and some focus on single agonists, single measures of activation or only on individuals defined as hyper-responsive to specific agonists [1, 2, 5]. Similarly, although variation in platelet function among blood and particularly platelet donors has been demonstrated [3, 17], there is a lack of information about the consistency of this variation over time. Our previous study of both whole blood and plateletpheresis donors demonstrated wide but consistent interindividual variation in platelet function. It however only assessed reproducibility after 3 months and did not review the details of blood donations between the initial and repeat testing [3].

The overall aim of our study was to measure platelet function in a cohort of individuals over a period of time which was longer than previously reported, to support the notion that platelet function within an individual is consistent over time. We achieved this with a panel of 89 established platelet donors whose platelet function was known to be at either the low or high ends of the normal range and testing them at intervals between measurements ranging from 4 months to approximately 5 years. If observed, demonstration of consistent platelet function over these periods would add to existing knowledge and achieve our aims of providing further support to the belief that this trait is at least partially genetically controlled and consequently indicating that any association between a donor's platelet function and the quality of their donated platelets would remain a consistent feature. In addition, the data would support the use of donors with highly characterised platelet function in clinical trials to assess the role of donor variation on the outcome of transfusions.

Materials and methods

Cohorts and subjects

The subjects for this study were selected from the 956 whole blood or platelet donors in the Cambridge Platelet

Function Cohort [3]. They were recruited over a period of 5 years, from the National Health Service Blood and Transplant blood donor clinic in Cambridge after gaining informed, written consent, and were established donors of platelets by apheresis. The study was approved by the Huntingdon Research Ethics Committee (Reference number 05/Q0104/27).

Individuals in the entire cohort of 956 donors were characterised on the basis of their platelet response to cross-linked collagen-related peptide (CRP-XL) and ADP, assessing their activation by flow cytometry, with fibrinogen binding and P-selectin (CD62P) expression as markers representing activation of the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa complex and α -granule release, respectively. These tests were carried out in the presence of aspirin and hirudin, to block the effects on the platelets of endogenous thromboxane A2 and thrombin, respectively, and in the case of the CRP-XL-stimulated samples, apyrase was added to block endogenous ADP.

Initial testing of the donors occurred during two periods. Platelets from donors in the first period, from July to August 2005, were tested against both agonists using both activation markers giving four measurements of platelet function. The second recruitment period, between June 2009 and July 2010, occurred in order to increase the size of the cohort to provide platelets for use in a clinical trial investigating possible associations between the level of platelet responsiveness and the clinical efficacy of transfused platelets (http://www.nhsbt.nhs.uk/clinicaltrialsunit/current-trials/prompt/index.asp#.VL70t8J_t1E). During this period, fibrinogen binding in response to both ADP and CRP-XL was measured, but P-selectin expression was only measured following CRP-XL activation, giving three platelet function measurements. Completion of the second recruitment period 5 years after the initial recruitment began provided the opportunity to retest donors with a range of different time periods between their initial and repeat testing. The assays used for the original testing were repeated to assess the consistency of platelet function over time.

The 89 platelet donors identified for retesting were selected for the clinical trial based on the hypothesis that use of donors with either low or high platelet function would be most likely to demonstrate potential differences in clinical outcome in patients. These donors had been characterised as having platelet reactivity within the lower or upper decile of overall observed reactivity. The method for assigning the donors to these low and high responder groups has been previously described [3]. Briefly, classification involved combining the standardised residuals of the logit-transformed flow cytometry data for all measurements into a single ranking profile. High responders were defined as those with the highest

minimum response, low responders as those with the lowest maximum. The donors were therefore considered as having overall platelet function responses that were either low or high, based on the overall range of responses observed in the entire cohort. For illustration, Fig. 1 shows where the selected donors lie in relation to the whole cohort in the distribution of results for the three assays common to testing all donors.

Of the 89 donors, 66 (28 low responders and 38 high responders) who had been initially tested between 4 and 20 months earlier had donated an average of eight times during this period. The remaining 23 (nine low responders and 14 high responders) were from the first recruitment period, originally tested between 64 and 68 months earlier and were described in 2007 [3]. These individuals donated an average of 49 times between initial and repeat testing. Characteristics of the 89 donors are shown in Table 1. All the donors were Caucasians, and none had diabetes.

Blood collection

Blood was drawn prior to apheresis donation, from the antecubital fossa contra lateral to the one used for routine donation. A 21-gauge butterfly needle and a Vacuette

tube (Griener Bio one, Stonehouse, UK) were used following a standardised protocol. The first 3 ml of blood were discarded, and a subsequent sample was taken into 3.2% sodium citrate for platelet function analysis.

Platelet activation reagents

For platelet activation, CRP-XL (monomeric sequence GCO[GPO]₁₀GCOG) was prepared as described previously [18] and was a kind gift from Professor Richard Farndale. Different batches of CRP-XL were used for the initial and repeat testing. Due to the instability of the diluted peptide, the reagent was stored in concentrated form at +4°C and diluted to a working concentration each day. The same batch of ADP, obtained from Sigma Chemical Co., Ltd (Poole, Dorset, UK), was used throughout the study and stored at -80°C in single-use aliquots.

The inhibitors, aspirin, apyrase and hirudin, were all from Sigma and were stored at -80°C in single-use aliquots until added to the citrated blood during the activation assays.

The antibodies used for flow cytometry were rabbit polyclonal FITC-anti-fibrinogen (Dako Ltd, Ely, UK) and a single batch of PE-anti-CD62P (Bristol Institute for Transfusion Science, Bristol, UK). HEPES-buffered saline (HBS, 0.14 M NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO₄, 10 mM HEPES (sodium salt) pH 7.4) was used for all dilutions.




Fig. 1 Platelet function responder groups. Values represent the standardised residuals of the logit-transformed results for fibrinogen binding in response to ADP stimulation (FA), fibrinogen binding in response to CRP-XL stimulation (FC) and P-selectin expression following CRP-XL stimulation (PC) for the entire cohort of 956 donors. The cohort excluding the selected low and high responders is shown as light-grey spheres. Donors classified as low responders at first testing and still available for repeat testing as shown as mid-grey spheres and the available high responders as black spheres.

Platelet activation measurements using whole blood flow cytometry

Blood was processed within 10 minutes of venesection. Aspirin (100 µM) and hirudin (10 U/ml) were added to an aliquot of citrated blood and 5 µl of this added to 45 µl of HBS containing CRP-XL (0.1–0.5 µg/ml, depending on batch) or ADP (10⁻⁷ M) and either FITC-anti-fibrinogen,

Table 1 Details of the short-term and long-term follow-up groups

Characteristic	Short-term	Long-term
Number of donors	66	23
Mean number of donations between tests (range)	8 (1–22)	49 (4–80)
Number of low responders (%)	28 (42)	9 (39)
Number of high responders (%)	38 (58)	14 (61)
Mean age, years at initial test (SD)	49.3 (9.4)	47 (6.7)
Mean age, years at repeat test (SD)	50.3 (9.4)	52 (6.7)
Sex, female: male	4:62	1:22
Mean body mass index (SD)	27.4 (4.1)	28.0 (3.5)
Current smoker (%)	6 (9)	1 (4)
Subjects with hypertension (%)	4 (6)	0
Subjects with high cholesterol (%)	5 (8)	4 (17)
Contraceptive pill (%)	1 (2)	0

or PE-anti-CD62P. For CRP-XL-stimulated samples, apyrase (4 U/ml) was also included in the reaction mixture. Samples were incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature, and reactions were then stopped by 100-fold dilution in formyl saline (0.2% formaldehyde in 0.9% NaCl). Negative controls for the P-selectin antibody were set using an appropriate isotype control and for antifibrinogen using samples incubated with the antibody in the presence of 10 mM EDTA. Flow cytometric analysis was carried out on either an EPICS Profile XL (Beckman-Coulter Ltd., High Wycombe, UK) for the initial testing period or a FC500 flow cytometer (Beckman-Coulter Ltd) for the second testing period. Platelets were identified by light scatter, and results were recorded as the percentage of platelets positive for the relevant activation marker. All tests were performed in duplicate, and the average result were used for analysis.

The protocol was as referred to previously [3], with the exceptions that the final reaction volume was changed to 50 μ l, and the final concentration of EDTA to 10 mM.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using PRISM versions 4.0c (GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, USA). The correlation between initial and repeat platelet function results was analysed using Pearson's correlation, and differences between the responder groups were compared using an unpaired *t*-test; *P* values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Platelet function results

Platelets from both the low and high responder donors who were followed up over a short term (4–20 months) were analysed for fibrinogen binding following stimulation with ADP and CRP-XL and for P-selectin expression following CRP-XL induced activation. As shown in Fig. 2, the level of reproducibility of the three assays was moderate, with significant correlations being observed between the original and retest data for all assays ($r^2 > 0.37$; $P < 0.0001$ for all).

Donors who were followed up for a longer term (64–68 months) were analysed for both fibrinogen binding and P-selectin expression measured following stimulation with CRP-XL and ADP. Again, significant correlations were observed between the original and retest data for all four assays ($r^2 > 0.29$; $P \leq 0.0073$, Fig. 3).

For both groups, it was notable that the ADP results were more reproducible than those obtained with CRP-XL, with higher degrees of correlation and lower *P*-values (Figs 2 and 3).




Fig. 2 Short-term follow-up (4–20 months): relationship between initial and repeat platelet function test results. Results for the first and repeat tests are shown. Values represent the percentage of platelets (PLT) expressing the relevant activation marker. Donors classified as low responders at first testing are shown as \circ and high responders as \triangle . (a) Fibrinogen binding following CRP-XL stimulation, (b) P-selectin expression following CRP-XL stimulation and (c) fibrinogen binding in response to ADP stimulation ($n = 66$ donors for all tests).

Maintenance of low and high responder status

Despite the high degree of correlation between the initial and retest results, there were some divergence and




Fig. 3 Long-term follow-up (64–68 months): relationship between initial and repeat platelet function test results. Results for the first and repeat test are shown. Values represent the percentage of platelets (PLT) expressing the relevant activation marker. Donors classified as low responders at first testing are shown as ○ and high responders as △. (a) Fibrinogen binding following CRP-XL stimulation ($n = 23$ donors), (b) P-selectin expression following CRP-XL stimulation ($n = 21$ donors), (c) fibrinogen binding in response to ADP stimulation ($n = 23$ donors) and (d) P-selectin expression in response to ADP stimulation ($n = 22$ donors).

Table 2 Result ranges for low and high responder donors^a

Group	Short term ($n = 66$)				Long term ($n = 23$)			
	Initial		Repeat		Initial		Repeat	
Test occasion								
Responder group	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High
Agonist (activation marker)								
CRP-XL (Fibrinogen)	9.1 ± 3.6	38.9 ± 10.1	22.2 ± 13.2	43.9 ± 15.3	23.7 ± 10.6	61.5 ± 10.8	16.6 ± 8.2	39.6 ± 11.8
CRP-XL (P-selectin)	17.9 ± 7.7	55.5 ± 9.9	31.4 ± 14.1	52.2 ± 16.7	31.8 ± 9.1	72.9 ± 10.1	26.8 ± 11.0	49.1 ± 13.8 ^b
ADP (Fibrinogen)	18.2 ± 6.6	61.5 ± 12.8	21.2 ± 10.8	45.1 ± 13.1	14.2 ± 5.9	40.8 ± 8.3	18.9 ± 8.0	44.5 ± 12.1
ADP (P-selectin)	nt	nt	nt	nt	7.1 ± 2.9	18.6 ± 4.1	13.8 ± 3.5	24.0 ± 5.8

nt, not tested.

^aResults are the percentage of platelets expressing the relevant activation marker, and values are mean ± 1SD.

The difference between low and high groups was all significant with $P < 0.0001$, except for^b where $P = 0.0005$.

regression to the mean. Therefore, we tested whether the classification of groups as low and high responders remained valid over time.

At the initial testing, results from all assays were significantly different between the two responder groups. On retesting the donors with a shorter-term follow-up, between 4 and 20 months later, the differences between responder groups for the three tests performed remained significant. Similarly, for the long-term donors, retesting more than 5 years later again revealed significant differences between the two responder groups for all four tests at both time-points (Table 2).

Discussion

To date, studies of variation in platelet function that have included monitoring function over time have demonstrated consistency over periods ranging mainly from

weeks to months, with a small number being confirmed after an average of 19 months [1–3, 5]. These observations are in keeping with the notion that this quantitative trait is strongly genetically controlled.

We have added to the data supporting this assumption by expanding our previously described Cambridge Platelet Function Cohort [3] to include almost one thousand donors and subsequently retesting a subset of individuals sampled from the tail ends of the normal distribution of platelet function, and which were considered as either low or high responders.

By assessing volunteers twice, with test intervals between 4 and 68 months and after making between one and 80 donations, we were able to demonstrate consistency of platelet functional responses within individuals. Furthermore, the significant differences in platelet function between low and high responders at the time of initial enrolment were maintained. These observations

imply that regular platelet donation does not have a major long-term impact on platelet function in established donors. They do not however exclude temporary effects immediately following donation. For example, we have previously shown that platelets remaining in the donor's circulation after apheresis donation were generally less responsive to ADP than before donation [15].

Although the initial and second assay results correlated significantly, they were however not identical. A tendency for regression to the mean, where results which are low or high at first measurement tend to be closer to the average result on second measurement, may have contributed to differences in results. In addition, technical and operational variables between the two occasions of testing could have influenced results. The greater reproducibility of the ADP results compared with the CRP-XL results for example probably reflects batch variability for CRP-XL, whereas the same batch of ADP was used for all tests.

Furthermore, although it is believed that up to 70% of variation in platelet responses is inherited [8, 9, 19], circadian variations, environmental factors such as diet, smoking and exercise can also contribute to small variations platelet function [20]. These factors were not controlled within the current study. The ability to improve the reproducibility of platelet function assay results by regulating factors such as food, alcohol intake and exercise was highlighted by previous observations that within an individual, variability in platelet function could be decreased by standardising these parameters [21].

Demonstration of wide but stable variation of platelet function may have implications for transfusion therapy. Previous studies have shown the degree of activation induced by collecting platelets via apheresis procedures varies considerably between donors [22, 23]. We have demonstrated that the inherent platelet responsiveness of individual donors may contribute to platelet quality, with highly activated platelets being obtained from high responder donors [15]. Thus, variation in quality of platelet concentrates is at least partly donor related, and as such our current study implies that this will remain a consistent feature for a given donor.

The clinical implications of the current observations for patients receiving platelet transfusions however remain unclear. There is still debate about the ability of *in vitro* platelet function measurement to predict *in vivo* platelet recovery, survival and function. Some reports have suggested that expression of activation markers such as CD62P in platelet concentrates correlates inversely with posttransfusion survival [24], while others have failed to find such a relationship [25]. Data from the recently

completed semi-randomised, controlled clinical trial to assess whether the outcome from platelet transfusions differs according to the platelet function characteristics of the donor are currently being reviewed (http://www.nhsbt.nhs.uk/clinicaltrialsunit/current-trials/prompt/index.asp#.Vl7Ot8J_t1E).

Overall, we have shown that the wide variation of platelet function among blood donors remains consistent over periods of up to 68 months of regular donations.

This observation is compatible with the notion of there being a high level of heritability for this quantitative platelet trait, and this may have implications for platelet transfusions, as well as wider considerations in relation to haemostasis and thrombosis.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the assistance of Elizabeth Murray and Karen O'Leary in the laboratory studies. The support of Heather Lloyd-Jones for recruiting and consenting volunteers and the staff and donors at the National Health Service Blood and Transplant centre, Cambridge for provision of samples is acknowledged. The study was supported in part by program grants from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR, RP-PG-0310-1002) the NHS Blood and Transplant (BS07/1R) and from the NIHR to the Cambridge University Hospitals and University of Cambridge.

Author contributions

Stephen F. Garner assisted in the study design, performed laboratory assays, analysed data and wrote the paper. Abigail Furnell performed laboratory assays and analysed data. Brennan. C. Kahan contributed to the study design and performed statistical analysis. Chris I. Jones assisted in the study design, performed laboratory assays, analysed data and cowrote the paper. Antony Attwood maintained laboratory and donor databases and analysed donor data. Paul Harrison assisted in the study design, reviewed laboratory data and cowrote the paper. Anne M. Kelly performed laboratory assays, reviewed data and recruited and consented volunteers. Alison H. Goodall, assisted in the study design, analysed data and cowrote the manuscript. Rebecca Cardigan assisted in the study design, analysed data and cowrote the manuscript. Willem H. Ouwehand, designed and supervised the study, and cowrote the manuscript.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no Conflict of interests.

References

- 1 Fontana P, Dupont A, Gandrille S, *et al.*: Adenosine diphosphate-induced platelet aggregation is associated with P2Y₁₂ gene sequence variations in healthy subjects. *Circulation* 2003; 108:989–995
- 2 Hetherington SL, Singh RK, Lodwick D, *et al.*: Dimorphism in the P2Y₁ ADP receptor gene is associated with increased platelet activation response to ADP. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2005; 25:252–257
- 3 Jones CI, Garner SF, Angenent W, *et al.*: Mapping the platelet profile for functional genomic studies and demonstration of the effect size of the GP6 locus. *J Thromb Haemost* 2007; 5:1756–1765
- 4 Panzer S, Hocker L, Koren D: Agonists-induced platelet activation varies considerably in healthy male individuals: studies by flow cytometry. *Ann Hematol* 2006; 85:121–125
- 5 Yee DL, Sun CW, Bergeron AL, *et al.*: Aggregometry detects platelet hyperactivity in healthy individuals. *Blood* 2005; 106:2723–2729
- 6 Eicher JD, Xue L, Ben-Shlomo Y, *et al.*: Replication and hematological characterization of human platelet reactivity genetic associations in men from the Caerphilly Prospective Study (CaPS). *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2016; 41:343–350
- 7 Johnson AD, Yanek LR, Chen MH, *et al.*: Genome-wide meta-analyses identifies seven loci associated with platelet aggregation in response to agonists. *Nat Genet* 2010; 42:608–613
- 8 Jones CI, Bray S, Garner SF, *et al.*: A functional genomics approach reveals novel quantitative trait loci associated with platelet signaling pathways. *Blood* 2009; 114:1405–1416
- 9 O'Donnell CJ, Larson MG, Feng D, *et al.*: Genetic and environmental contributions to platelet aggregation: the Framingham heart study. *Circulation* 2001; 103:3051–3056
- 10 Gieger C, Radhakrishnan A, Cvejic A, *et al.*: New gene functions in megakaryopoiesis and platelet formation. *Nature* 2011; 480:201–208
- 11 Soranzo N, Rendon A, Gieger C, *et al.*: A novel variant on chromosome 7q22.3 associated with mean platelet volume, counts, and function. *Blood* 2009; 113:3831–3837
- 12 Soranzo N, Spector TD, Mangino M, *et al.*: A genome-wide meta-analysis identifies 22 loci associated with eight hematological parameters in the HaemGen consortium. *Nat Genet* 2009; 41:1182–1190
- 13 Tofler GH, Brezinski D, Schafer AL, *et al.*: Concurrent morning increase in platelet aggregability and the risk of myocardial infarction and sudden cardiac death. *N Engl J Med* 1987; 316:1514–1518
- 14 Jaitner J, Stegherr J, Morath T, *et al.*: Stability of the high on-treatment platelet reactivity phenotype over time in clopidogrel-treated patients. *Thromb Haemost* 2011; 105:107–112
- 15 Garner SF, Jones CI, Stephens J, *et al.*: Apheresis donors and platelet function: inherent platelet responsiveness influences platelet quality. *Transfusion* 2008; 48:673–680
- 16 Jimenez TM, Patel SB, Pineda AA, *et al.*: Factors that influence platelet recovery after transfusion: resolving donor quality from ABO compatibility. *Transfusion* 2003; 43:328–334
- 17 Jilma-Stohlawetz P, Hergovich N, Homoncik M, *et al.*: Impaired platelet function among platelet donors. *Thromb Haemost* 2001; 86:880–886
- 18 Knight CG, Morton LF, Onley DJ, *et al.*: Collagen-platelet interaction: Gly-Pro-Hyp is uniquely specific for platelet Gp VI and mediates platelet activation by collagen. *Cardiovasc Res* 1999; 41:450–457
- 19 Bray PF, Mathias RA, Faraday N, *et al.*: Heritability of platelet function in families with premature coronary artery disease. *J Thromb Haemost* 2007; 5:1617–1623
- 20 Miller CH, Rice AS, Garrett K, *et al.*: Gender, race and diet affect platelet function tests in normal subjects, contributing to a high rate of abnormal results. *Br J Haematol* 2014; 165:842–853
- 21 Peace AJ, Egan K, Kavanagh GF, *et al.*: Reducing intra-individual variation in platelet aggregation: implications for platelet function testing. *J Thromb Haemost* 2009; 7:1941–1943
- 22 Hagberg IA, Akkoc CA, Lyberg T, *et al.*: Apheresis-induced platelet activation: comparison of three types of cell separators. *Transfusion* 2000; 40:182–192
- 23 Perseghin P, Mascaretti L, Speranza T, *et al.*: Platelet activation during plasma-reduced multicomponent PLT collection: a comparison between COBE Trima and Spectra LRS turbo cell separators. *Transfusion* 2004; 44:125–130
- 24 Rinder HM, Murphy M, Mitchell JG, *et al.*: Progressive platelet activation with storage: evidence for shortened survival of activated platelets after transfusion. *Transfusion* 1991; 31:409–414
- 25 Dijkstra-Tiekstra MJ, Pietersz RN, Huijgens PC: Correlation between the extent of platelet activation in platelet concentrates and in vitro and in vivo parameters. *Vox Sang* 2004; 87:257–263